Case 5:10-cr-00091-JF Document 5 Filed 02/02/10 Page 1 of 1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN JOSE DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff,	Case Number <u>(R-09-mj-70844)</u>
V. Luis Hernandez-alday Defendant.	
.) +	ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL
In accordance with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), a detention hea	uring was held on 2/2/10 Defendant was
present, represented by his attorney M. Or augo The United States wa	s represented by Assistant II S Attorney S Co. L
PART I. PRESUMPTIONS APPLICABLE	represented by rustistant o.b. Attorney 3. Sure
/ / The defendant is charged with an offense described in 18 U.S.C.	§ 3142(f)(1) and the defendant has been convicted
of a prior offense described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) while on release pendin	g trial for a federal, state or local offense, and a
period of not more than five (5) years has elapsed since the date of conviction	or the release of the person from imprisonment
whichever is later.	r voor 20m maproomnone,
This establishes a rebuttable presumption that no condition or combine	nation of conditions will reasonably assure the safety
of any other person and the community.	about the salety
/ / There is probable cause based upon (the indictment) (the facts fo	ound in Part IV below) to believe that the defendant
has committed an offense	,
A for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 10 y	ears or more is prescribed in 21 U.S.C. §
801 et seq., § 951 et seq., or § 955a et seq., OR	The state of the s
B. under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c): use of a firearm during th	
This establishes a rebuttable presumption that no condition or combin	nation of conditions will reasonably assure the
appearance of the defendant as required and the safety of the community.	FEB 0 22010
No presumption applies.	2010
PART II. REBUTTAL OF PRESUMPTIONS, IF APPLICABLE	Atopini in the second of the s
/ / The defendant has not come forward with sufficient evidence to r	ebut the applicable presumption[s], and he
therefore will be ordered detained.	
/ / The defendant has come forward with evidence to rebut the appli-	cable presumption[s] to wit:
Thus, the burden of proof shifts back to the United States.	•
PART III. PROOF (WHERE PRESUMPTIONS REBUTTED OR INAPPLICABLE)	
The United States has proved to a preponderance of the evidence	that no condition or combination of conditions will
reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required, AND/OR	The second of the second secon
/ / The United States has proved by clear and convincing evidence the	nat no condition or combination of conditions will
reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community.	
PART IV. WRITTEN FINDINGS OF FACT AND STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR	DETENTION
The Court has taken into account the factors set out in 18 U.S.C. §	3142(g) and all of the information submitted at
the hearing and finds as follows: The de lendar 1 is charcled u	sith a resolution v 7 845 (\$ 1326.
He is undocumented. The defendant has con	vections involvino alcohol and
ellegal substances. He has a substance abusi	
his ability to make his court appearance. He has	soth prolection and baral
Violation cosues which indicates his in	rability to comple just k corest
// Defendant, his attorney, and the AUSA have waived written findin	gs. Broles
PART V. DIRECTIONS REGARDING DETENTION	
The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or his	
corrections facility separate to the extent practicable from persons awaiting or service	
The defendant shall be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation w	
United States or on the request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the	
lefendant to the United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.	
(A)his	V. 2. 0 00
Dated: 2/2/10 PATRICIA V. TRUM	V Sunlue
F PAIRICIA V SUINA	(PSI 16 3

PATRICIA V. TRUMBULL United States Magistrate Judge